

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,432	12/07/2001	Harro Krispin	DTW-162	2809
759	90 · 05/28/2003			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\wedge
,	Application No. 10/006,432	Applicant(s) Kris	oin /
Office Action Summary	Examiner Estremsky	Art Unit 3677	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	Iress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	In no event, however, may a report the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed after SIX (6) MON (30) days will be considered timely 45 from the mailing date of this com-	
Status	2002		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Mar 17</u> ,	ction is non-final.		•
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This a 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp.	e except for formal ma		the merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	 	is/are withdrawn	from consideration.
5)		is/are allowe	d.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-5, 12, and 23</u>		is/are rejecte	d.
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>6-11 and 13-22</u>		is/are objecte	ed to.
8)	are subj	ect to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.
Application Papers			
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a) 🗆 accepted or	b) \square objected to by the E	xaminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved b) L disappr	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 120	miner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ave been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ave been received in A	Application No	·
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	3)).	l Stage
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisio			
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	(PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/006,432 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,007,516 to Coules.

Coules '516 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "first housing part" - 11,17 with "slot-shaped opening" as shown in Fig's 3-5, a "second housing part" - P, a "locking element inserted into the opening of the first housing part" - including 13,14, a "blocking element" - including 36,39.

Limitation of "a first housing part" of a "multi-point housing" is broad inasmuch as no structure is defined in the claim that can be relied upon to patentably define from that of the reference where examiner notes that part 11,17 is attached to and forms part of a multi-part

-

Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

housing structure including P,c, 11,17. Preamble recitation of "configured to accommodate electric components and electronic components has been considered as well but the functional recitation does not include electrical components and the actual 'configuration' is not structurally defined where one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that panels such as those of the reference are inherently configured for accommodating electrical components.

It has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa* v. *Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

As regards claim 23, OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS defines **displace** >verb 1 shift from the proper or usual position. 2 take over the place, position, or role of. 3 (especially of war or natural disaster) force (someone) to leave their,..... The term "displaceable" does not define over the rotational displacement of part 31 of the reference as it moves from its locking position and the unlocking position.

4. Claims 1-4, 12, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,751,545 to Jung.

Art Unit: 3677

Jung '545 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "first housing part" - 10 with "slot-shaped opening" as shown in Fig 5, a "second housing part" - 30, a "locking element inserted into the opening of the first housing part" - including 120,140 as shown in Fig 7, a "blocking element" - including 132 where "blocking said locking element from being adjusted" is broad since it does not define any particular structure. In the case of the reference, part 132 functions as detent for releasably securing the latch from movement between positions where that function reads on broad limitation of "adjust'.

As regards claim 2, one of ordinary skill in the art recognizes that personal computers are inherently capable of use in communicating over long distances where the limitation does not define any particular structure that can be relied upon to patentably define from the reference.

As regards claim 3, the slot is configured to restrain movement of the latch to sliding with respect to the parallel edges of the slot. Restraining sideways movement reads on broad limitation of "force-locking abutment".

As regards claim 12, as shown in Fig 5, the "first housing" (10) has a "wall section adjacent to the slot-shaped opening" (generally left side as shown in Fig 5 but particularly including the region near 110 that includes the rectangular recess and nearby areas), "the wall section has a depression" (the rectangular recess at 125), "actuating surface" (portion of 40 that is disposed in the rectangular recess).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,432 Page 5

Art Unit: 3677

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-11 and 13-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's favorable amendments and persuasive arguments are noted. However, not all of the pending claims have not patentably defined from the prior art.

The law of anticipation requires that a distinction be made between the invention described or taught and the invention claimed. It does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches. Assuming that a reference is properly "prior art," it is only necessary that the claims under consideration "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claim are found in the reference, or "fully met" by it. *Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.*, 218 USPQ 789.

Claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation.

In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974).

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office 7. action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- Submission of any response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3677's relevant facsimile numbers are:
 - 703-872-9326, for formal communications for entry before Final action: or
 - 703-872-9327, for formal communications for entry after Final action.

Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly within our examining group and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time and will bypass the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or

Page 6

Art Unit: 3677

amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a Deposit Account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check **should not be** submitted by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) ___ - ___) on ____ (Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th from 730 am to 600 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J.J. Swann, can be reached on (703) 306-4115.

Art Unit: 3677

- 10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.
 - Technology Center 3600 Customer Service is available at 703-308-1113.
 - General Customer Service numbers are at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-9000.

GWE

May 21, 2003

GARY ESTREMSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER